

DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE

OPEN MEETING ITEM



JODI JERICH Executive Director RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2015 AUG -3 A 9: 46

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DATE:

AUGUST 3, 2015

DOCKET NO.:

W-01737A-12-0478

TO ALL PARTIES:

ORIGINAL

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Sarah Harpring. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

NEW RIVER UTILITY COMPANY (ORDER EXTENDING TIME DEADLINE IN DECISION NO. 74294)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00** p.m. on or before:

AUGUST 12, 2015

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

AUGUST 18, 2015 and AUGUST 19, 2015

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 03 2015

∕ JODI JERIĊ́́́́́́́́́

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DOCKETED BY

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 WWW.CC.State.az.us

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail SABernal@azcc.gov.

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman **BOB STUMP** 4 **BOB BURNS** DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01737A-12-0478 7 NEW RIVER UTILITY COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS DECISION NO. UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED ORDER EXTENDING TIME 10 **DEADLINE IN DECISION NO. 74294** THEREON. 11 Open Meeting August 18 and 19, 2015 12 Phoenix, Arizona 13 BY THE COMMISSION: 14 15 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 16 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 17 FINDINGS OF FACT 18 On January 29, 2014, the Commission issued Decision No. 74294 approving 1. 19 permanent rates and charges for New River Utility Company ("New River"). In the Decision, in 20 response to New River's request for \$31,333 in normalized tank painting expenses, the Commission 21 stated the following: 22 While the Commission's rules require a utility to use a historical test year for its rate case, they also allow for pro forma adjustments to 23 actual test year figures "to obtain a normal or more realistic relationship between revenues, expenses and rate base." (See A.A.C. R14-2-24 103(A)(3)(i), App. C.) The Commission allows such adjustments to be 25 made for future expenses when there is evidence establishing that the future expenses are known and measurable. In this case, the evidence 26 establishes that New River has an obligation to incur a \$130,000 expense

for tank painting to be commenced in the next few months. The evidence

also establishes that this is a reasonable level of expense for the work to be completed, that New River's tanks need to be recoated, and that a 15-year

27

28

period between recoatings for water tanks is reasonable. Based upon the evidence herein, we find that it is just and reasonable to allow New River recovery of the \$130,000 in tank recoating expense for the work to be completed by ACAI, with the \$130,000 to be normalized over 15 years, which amounts to a pro forma expense increase of \$8,667 for the adjusted TY. We will require New River to ensure that the tank recoating work is completed in accordance with its testimony herein and to file, as a compliance item in this docket, no later than June 2, 2014, documentation from ACAI confirming completion of the quoted tank recoating work.¹

- 2. Consistent with the above, the Commission ordered New River to file, by June 2, 2014, documentation from ACAI establishing that the recoating work for New River's storage tank built in 1997 had been completed and showing the final invoiced amount for that work. (Decision No. 74294 at 75, 81-82.)
- 3. On June 27, 2014, New River filed a Request to Extend Deadline in Decision 74294 for Tank Recoating ("1st Request"), stating that New River had implemented its new rates and charges in March 2014, but had incurred significant unexpected costs for well and pump repairs in May and June 2013 and then again in June 2014, cumulatively incurring expenses in excess of \$258,000 for these repairs. New River stated that it did not at that time have the \$133,000 needed to pay for the storage tank recoating and added that the recoating work must be done during the winter months when system water demand is lower. New River requested a one-year extension of the deadline for completing the tank recoating work, through and including June 2, 2015.
- 4. On January 20, 2015, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Memorandum stating that Staff did not object to New River's 1st Request and recommending that the 1st Request be granted. Noting that New River's 1st Request had been filed after the existing due date for this compliance item, however, Staff also recommended that New River be required to file any future requests for extension of time, in any docket, at least 90 days prior to the existing due date. Additionally, Staff recommended that no further extensions of time be granted in this matter.
 - 5. New River did not file a response to Staff's Memorandum.
- 6. On March 16, 2015, the Commission issued Decision No. 74977, extending to June 2, 2015, the deadline for New River to file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance

Decision No. 74294 at 29-30 (footnote omitted). New River had testified that there was a contract in place to have the recoating work done in winter 2013. (See id. at 28.)

item in this docket, documentation obtained from ACAI establishing that the recoating work for New River's storage tank built in 1997 had been completed and showing the final invoiced amount for that work. (Decision No. 74977 (March 16, 2015) at 3.) The Decision further ordered New River to file any future requests for extension of time, in any docket, at least 90 days before the existing deadline for the requirement in question. (*Id.* at 4.)

- 7. On May 22, 2015,² New River filed a Request to Extend Deadline in Decision 74294 for Tank Recoating ("2nd Request"). In its 2nd Request, New River stated that New River desires an additional one-year extension, through June 2, 2016, of the deadline for completing the tank recoating work because the City of Peoria ("City") is considering acquisition of New River. New River further stated that after inspecting the storage tank, New River had determined that the tank recoating work could wait another year without risk of damage or deterioration to the tank. New River included a copy of Minutes from the Peoria City Council meeting of April 7, 2015, in which potential acquisition of New River had been a subject of an Executive Session.
- 8. On July 21, 2015, Staff filed a Memorandum recommending that New River's 2nd Request be approved and that New River be granted no further extensions of time in this matter. Staff stated that it had contacted New River to discuss the 2nd Request and that, according to New River, the recoating work will likely be completed this winter if New River is not purchased by the City of Peoria. Staff did not address the tardiness of New River's 2nd Request.
- 9. In Decision No. 74977, we made New River aware that any future extension request would be granted only under compelling circumstances, as New River's current rates include funds to cover the normalized expense of recoating the storage tank. We also ordered New River to file any future requests for extension of time at least 90 days prior to the existing deadline for the requirement in question, a directive that was not met with the 2nd Request. However, the City's acquisition of New River would be a singular event, and New River almost certainly has no control over the timing of the City's decision-making process or its outcome. With the City's determination pending, it was reasonable and appropriate for New River to request an extension of its deadline to complete the tank

New River did not address why the 2nd Request had not been filed at least 90 days before the June 2, 2015, deadline.

recoating.³ We find that the uncertainty surrounding New River's operations, as a result of the pending City decision, constitutes compelling circumstances warranting approval of the 2nd Request. In addition, we find that New River should be foreclosed from filing any future request for deadline extension in this matter unless the request is filed at least 90 days before the deadline in question, and the request describes and provides documentation to support a compelling reason for the requested extension.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. New River is a public service corporation pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. Title 40.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over New River and the subject matter of New River's 2nd Request.
- 3. It is reasonable and appropriate and in the public interest to grant New River another one-year extension of time to file documentation from ACAI confirming completion of the quoted tank recoating work.
- 4. It is reasonable and appropriate and in the public interest to preclude New River from filing a future request for deadline extension in this matter unless the request is filed at least 90 days before the deadline in question, and the request describes and provides documentation to support a compelling reason for the requested deadline extension.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Commission hereby extends, to June 2, 2016, the deadline for New River Utility Company to file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, documentation obtained from ACAI establishing that the recoating work for New River Utility Company's storage tank built in 1997 has been completed and showing the final invoiced amount for that work.

New River should have made the filing in a timely manner.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that New River Utility Company may not file another reques			
2	for deadline extension in this matter unless the request is filed at least 90 days before the deadline in			
3	question, and the request describes and provides documentation to support a compelling reason for			
4	the requested extension.			
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.			
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.			
7				
8				
9	CHAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER	
10				
11	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	
12				
13		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Director of the Arizona Corp	JODI JERICH, Executive poration Commission, have	
14		hereunto set my hand and cause Commission to be affixed at the C	sed the official seal of the apitol, in the City of Phoenix,	
15		thisday of	2015.	
16				
17		JODI JERICH		
18		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
19	DISSENT			
20				
21	DISSENT_			
22	SH:ru/tv			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		5 DI	ECISION NO.	

	* .		
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	NEW RIVER UTILITY COMPANY	
2	DOCKET NO.:	W-01737A-12-0478	
3	Jeffrey W. Crockett		
4	CROCKETT LAW GROUP PLLC 1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85016		
5	Attorneys for New River Utility Company		
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007		
7			
8			
9	Thomas Broderick, Director Utilities Division		
10	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO 1200 West Washington Street	N	
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007		
12			
13 14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			